## TOTALLY M\*-PARANOORMAL OPERATORS

## **Buthainah A. Ahmed and Sadiq Naji Nassir** Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Baghdad.

#### Abstract

In this paper we introduce a class of operators on a Hilbert space, we call the elements of this class totally M\*-paranormal operator. We study some basic properties of these operators and we give some sufficient conditions for a totally M\*-paranormal operator to be normal operator.

#### Introduction

Let H be a separable complex Hilbert space and B(H) be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on H. An operator T is called \*-paranormal if  $\|T^*x\|^2 \le \|T^2x\|$  for every unit vector x in H [3], T is called dominant operator if for each  $\lambda \in \phi$  there exists a number  $M_{\lambda} > 0$  such that  $||(T - \lambda)^* x|| \le M_{\lambda} ||(T - \lambda)x||$ for all  $x \in H$ . Furthermore, if the constants  $M_{\lambda}$  are bounded By a positive number M, then T is called M-hyponormal operator [2]. Let  $\sigma(T), \sigma_{n}(T), \sigma_{an}(T), \rho(T)$  denote the spectrum, the point spectrum, the approximate point spectrum of T and the resolvent of T respectively and let  $E_{\tau}(\mu)$  be the  $\mu$ -space of

T that is  $E_T(\mu) = \{x \in H : Tx = \mu x\}.$ 

## Totally M\*-paranormal operator, definitions and some basic properties .

Definition 1.1 Let  $T \in B(H)$ , we call T a totally M\*-paranormal operator if for each

 $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  there exists a number  $M_{\lambda} > 0$  such

that  $\left\| (T-\lambda)^* x \right\|^2 \le M_{\lambda} \left\| (T-\lambda)^2 x \right\|$  for all x  $\in$  H, with ||x|| = 1.

## Example 1.2

1-Let T:H $\rightarrow$ H ,defined as follows T=4iI then T is totally M\*-paranormal operator.

2- Let H =  $\ell_2(\phi) = \{x: x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)\}$  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i|^{\bar{}} < \infty \} \text{ define } T:H \rightarrow H \text{ as follows}$ 

 $T(x_1, x_2, x_3,...) = (0, x_1, 0, 0, ....)$ . It is easy to check that  $T^*(x_1, x_2, x_3,...) = (x_2, 0, 0, 0, ....)$ , and  $\sigma(T) = \{0\}$ , if we take  $x = (0, x_2, x_3, x_3, x_4)$  $x_4,\ldots$ ). such that  $x_2 \neq 0$  then Tx=0 and  $T^2$  x=0 but  $T^*(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_2, 0, 0, 0, ...) \neq 0.$ Therefore T is not M\*-paranormal operator.

#### **Proposition 1.3**

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , T is totally M\*-paranormal operator if and only if for each  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{c}$ , there exists a number  $M_{i} > 0$  such that  $\left\| (T-\lambda)^* x \right\|^2 \le M_{\lambda} \left\| (T-\lambda)^2 x \right\|, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbf{H}.$ 

#### **Proof**:

Suppose that T is a totally M\*-paranormal operator and let  $\lambda \in \rho(T)$  then T- $\lambda I$  is invertible therefore

$$\|(T-\lambda)^* x\|^2 \le \|(T-\lambda)^*\| \| \|x\|^2 \le \|(T-\lambda)^*\|^2 \| (T-\lambda)^2 x\|$$
  
Let  $M_{\lambda} = \|(T-\lambda)^*\|^2 \| (T-\lambda)^{-2} \|$ , then T is a totally M\*-paranormal operator.  
The other direction is clear.

#### **Proposition 1.4**

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , T a totally M\*-paranormal operator if and only if  $M_{\lambda}^{2}(T^{*}-\overline{\lambda})^{2}(T-\lambda)^{2}-2k(T-\lambda)(T-\lambda)^{*}+k^{2}\geq 0$ for each k > 0.

#### **Proof**:

We know that for each positive  $b_{\lambda}$  and  $c_{\lambda}$ ,  $c_{\lambda} - 2b_{\lambda}k + k^2 \ge 0$  for all k >0 if and only if  $b_{\lambda}^{2} \leq c_{\lambda}$ . Let  $b_{\lambda} = \left\| (T - \lambda)^{*} x \right\|^{2}$  and  $c_{\lambda} = M_{\lambda}^{2} \| (T - \lambda)^{2} x \|^{2}, \| x \| = 1.$  Then T is a totally M\*-paranormal operator if and only if  $b_{\lambda}^{2} \leq c_{\lambda}$  this means that T is a totally M\*-paranormal operator if and only if  $M_{\lambda}^{2} \| (T - \lambda)^{2} x \|^{2} - 2k \| (T - \lambda)^{*} x \|^{2} + k^{2} \ge 0.$ 

# **Proposition 1.5**

Let T be a totally M\*-paranormal operator, then:  $1-T-\lambda I$  and  $\lambda T$  are totally M\*- paranormal operators.

2- if T is invertible operator then  $T^{-1}$  is totally M\*-paranormal operator

# **Proof** :(1)

 $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} (T - \lambda I) - \alpha I \end{bmatrix}^* x \right\|^2 = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} T - (\lambda + \alpha) I \end{bmatrix}^* \right\|^2$   $\leq M_{\lambda} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} T - (\lambda + \alpha) I \end{bmatrix}^2 x \right\| \leq M_{\lambda} \left\| \begin{bmatrix} (T - \lambda) - \alpha \end{bmatrix}^2 x \right\|$ If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $\lambda T$  is totally M\*-paranormal operator. Suppose that  $\lambda \neq 0$  then

Suppose that  $\lambda \neq 0$  then

$$\left\| \left( \lambda T - MI \right)^* x \right\|^2 = \left| \lambda \right|^2 \left\| \left[ T - \left( M / \lambda \right) I \right]^* x \right\|^2$$
  
$$\leq M_{\lambda} \left| \lambda \right|^2 \left\| \left[ T - \left( M / \lambda \right) I \right]^2 x \right\| \leq$$

 $M_{\lambda} \| [(\lambda T - MI)^2 x] \|$  Hence  $\lambda T$  is totally M\*paranormal operator.

(2) if 
$$\lambda = 0$$
 then  $\|T^{*^{-1}}x\|^{2} \leq \|T^{*^{-1}}\|^{2} \|x\| \leq \|T^{*^{-1}}\|^{2} \|T^{-2}x\|$ , take  
 $M_{0} = \|T^{*^{-1}}\|^{2} \|T^{2}\|.$   
let  $\lambda \neq 0$  then  $\|(T^{*^{-1}} - \overline{\lambda})x\|^{2} = \|-\overline{\lambda}T^{*^{-1}}[T^{*} - (1/\overline{\lambda})I]x\|^{2} \|x\| = |\lambda|^{2} \|T^{*^{-1}}\|^{2} \|[T^{*} - (1/\overline{\lambda})I]x\|^{2} \leq M_{1/\lambda} |\lambda|^{2} \|T^{*^{-1}}\|^{2} \|[T^{-1} - (1/\overline{\lambda})I]x\|^{2} \leq M_{1/\lambda} \|T^{*^{-1}}\|^{2} \|T^{2}\| \|(T^{-1} - \lambda I)^{2}x\|$   
 $\leq M_{1/\lambda} \|T^{*^{-1}}\|^{2} \|T^{2}\| \|T^{2}\| \|(T^{-1} - \lambda I)^{2}x\|$ , take  
 $M_{\lambda} = \|T^{*^{-1}}\|^{2} \|T^{2}\| \|T^{2}\| \|M_{1/\lambda}$ .

Hence  $T^{-1}$  is totally M\*- paranormal operator. By using definition it is easy to prove the following Proposition

# **Proposition 1.6**

Let T a totally M\*-paranormal operator then :

1-E<sub>T</sub>(λ)⊆ $E_{T^*}(\overline{\lambda})$  for all λ∈¢, in fact if x is an eigenvector for T with eigenvalue λ then x is an eigenvector for T\* with eigenvalue  $\overline{\lambda}$ .

2- For a fixed scalar  $\lambda$ ,  $E_T(\lambda)$  reduces T

3-  $E_{T}(\lambda) \perp E_{T}(\mu)$  whenever  $\lambda \neq \mu$ .

For any operator  $T \in B(H)$ , we set  $Re(T)=(1/2)[T + T^*]$ , and  $ImT=(1/2i)[T - T^*]$ , [1.p148].

## **Proposition 1.7**

Let T a totally  $M^*$ -paranormal operator then :

1- if  $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \phi$  then  $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(\operatorname{Im} T)$ . 2- if  $\sigma(T) \cap \operatorname{iR} \neq \phi$  then  $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(\operatorname{Im} T)$ .

3-Re $\sigma(T) \subset \sigma_{ap}(\text{Re}T)$ .

4- Im 
$$\sigma(T) \subset \sigma_{m}(\operatorname{Im} T)$$
.

# Proof:

1-Since  $\sigma(T) \cap \mathbb{R} \neq \phi$  then there exists a real number r such that  $r \in \sigma(T)$ . Thus the line  $L=\{z \in \phi \mid Imz=Imr=0\}$  intersect  $\sigma(T)$  at a boundary point c. Therefore  $c \in \sigma_{ap}(T)$ . Then there exist a sequence of unit vectors  $\{x_n\}$  in H. Such that  $(T-cI)x_n \to 0$  and  $(T-cI)^2x_n \to 0$  then  $(T-cI)^*x_n \to 0$  when  $n\to\infty$ . Thus  $(ImT - ImcI)x_n =$  $(1/2i)[(T-T^*)-(c-c)I]x_n \to 0$ . Hence  $Imc \in \sigma_{ap}(ImT)$ . Thus  $0 \in \sigma_{ap}(ImT)$ By the same way we can prove (2) (3) and (4)

By the same way we can prove (2),(3), and (4). It easy to prove the following theorem.

# Theorem 1.8

- 1-Let  $(T-\lambda I)$  be a \*-paranormal for each  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  then T is a totally M\*-paranormal operator.
- 2-Let T be a dominant operator and  $(T-\lambda I)$  is an idempotent operator for each  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  then T is totally M\*-paranormal operator.

## **Corollary1.9**

Every M-hyponormal operator in particular, every hyponormal operator, normal operator, selfadjoint operator and  $(T-\lambda I)$  is idempotent operator for each  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  then T is totally M\*-paranormal operator.

# M\*-paranormal operator and normal operators

The following theorems give conditions under which a totally M\*-paranormal operator is normal operator.

#### Theorem 2.1

If T is a totally M\*-paranormal operator then T can be expressed uniquely as the direct sum  $T = T_1 \oplus T_2$  defined on the space  $H=H_1\oplus H_2$  with the following properties:

1-  $H_1$  is the closure of the space spanned by the eigenvectors of T

2-  $T_1$  is normal

 $3 - \sigma_p(T_2) = \phi$ 

4-T is normal if and only if T<sub>2</sub> is normal

## Proof:

1. Let  $H_1 = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)} \bigoplus E_T(\lambda)$ . Since  $H_1^{\perp}$  is a

closed linear subspace, then  $H = H_1 \oplus H_2$  where  $H_2 = H_1^{\perp}$  Let  $T_1 = T|_{H_1}$  and  $T_2 = T|_{H_2}$  then

T= T<sub>1</sub>  $\oplus$  T<sub>2</sub> uniquely 2- Let  $H_1 = E_T(\lambda_1) \oplus E_T(\lambda_2) \oplus E_T(\lambda_3) \oplus \dots$ 

and  $x \in H_1$ . Then  $x = x_{\lambda_1} + x_{\lambda_2} + x_{\lambda_3} + \dots$ 

for any 
$$\mathcal{X}_{\lambda i} \in E_{T}(\lambda i)$$
, i. e.,  $x = \sum_{\lambda \in \sigma_{p}(T_{1})} x_{\lambda i}$ 

for each i, and 
$$\sum |x|_{\lambda_{i}}^{2} < \infty$$
  
 $T_{1}^{*}T_{1}\left[\sum_{\lambda_{i} \in \sigma(T_{1})} x_{\lambda_{i}}\right] = T_{1}^{*}\left[\sum_{\lambda_{i} \in \sigma_{p}(T_{1})} T_{1}x_{\lambda_{i}}\right] =$   
 $\lambda_{1}T_{1}^{*}x_{\lambda_{1}} + \lambda_{2}T_{2}^{*}x_{\lambda_{2}} + \lambda_{3}T_{3}^{*}x_{\lambda_{3}} + ...$   
 $= |\lambda_{1}|^{2}x_{\lambda_{1}} + |\lambda_{2}|^{2}x_{\lambda_{2}} + |\lambda_{3}|^{2}x_{\lambda_{3}} + ...$  and  
 $T_{1}T_{1}^{*}x = T_{1}T_{1}^{*}(x_{\lambda_{1}} + x_{\lambda_{2}} + x_{\lambda_{3}} + ...)$   
 $= \overline{\lambda_{1}} Tx_{\lambda_{1}} + \overline{\lambda_{2}} Tx_{\lambda_{2}} + \overline{\lambda_{3}} Tx_{\lambda_{3}} + ....$   
 $= |\lambda_{1}|^{2}x_{\lambda_{1}} + |\lambda_{2}|^{2}x_{\lambda_{2}} + |\lambda_{3}|^{2}x_{\lambda_{3}} + ....$ 

Hence  $T_1$  is normal.

3- Suppose that  $\sigma_p(T_2) \neq \phi$  and let  $M \in \sigma_p(T_2)$ Then there exists  $x \neq 0 \in H_2$  such that  $(T_2 \cdot M)x = 0$ .Since  $T(0 + x) = T_2x = Mx = M(0 + x)$ , hence x in  $H_1$ .

This is contradiction to  $H_2 = H_1^{\perp}$  and  $x \neq 0$ , therefore  $\sigma_p(T_2) = \phi$ 4- Since

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{2} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ and } T^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{1}^{*} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{2}^{*} \end{bmatrix} \in B(H_{1}, H_{2})$$
  
Then  $T^{*}T = \begin{bmatrix} T_{1}^{*}T_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{2}^{*}T_{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } TT^{*} = \begin{bmatrix} T_{1}T_{1}^{*} & 0 \\ 0 & T_{2}T_{2}^{*} \end{bmatrix}$   
Thus  $T^{*}T = TT^{*}$  if and only if  $T_{2}^{*}T_{2} = T_{2}T_{2}^{*}$ .

### Theorem 2.2

Let  $T \in B(H)$ , be a totally M\*-paranormal operator . If the eigenspaces  $E_T(\lambda)$  of T Form a total family, then T is normal operator.

## Proof:

Let  $H_0$  be the null space of TT\*-T\*T, the problem is to show that  $H_0=H$  or equivalently  $H_0^{\perp} = \{\theta\}$ , claim  $E_T(\mu) \subseteq H_0$  for all  $\mu$ . Let  $x \in E_T(\mu)$ , thus  $T^* x \in E_T(\mu)$  Therefore  $TT^*x = \mu$   $(T^*x)=T^*(\mu x)=T^*Tx$ . Thus  $(TT^*-T^*T)x=0$  and  $x \in H_0$ .

It follows that if  $x \perp H_0$ , then  $x \perp E_T(\mu)$  for all M.But the eigenspaces form total family. Hence x=0 then  $(TT^*-T^*T)x=0 \forall x \in H$ . Thus T\*T=TT\* and T is normal operator Collarary 2.3

Let T -  $\lambda$  I be a \*-paranormal for each  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ . If the eigenspaces  $E_T(\lambda)$  of T from a total family then T is normal operator.

#### References

- [1] S.K. Berberrian, "Introduction to Hilbert space", Second edition. Chelsea Publising Company. New York.n .Y., 1976.
- [2] Hou., jinchaan ,"Some Results on Mhyponormal operators", Journal of math. Research and Exposition vol.4, No.2, 1984, pp.101-103.
- [3] C.S.Rtoo, "some class of operators" Math. J, Toyamess Univ, Vol. 21,1998,pp147-152.

الخلاصة

في هذا البحث نقدم صنفا من المؤثرات على فضاء هلبرت. يطلق على هذا الصنف من المؤثرات ب (المؤثرات الموازية للسوية من النمط - \*M) سوف ندرس بعض الخواص الاساسية لهذه المؤثرات .كما نعطي بعض الشروط عليها للحصول على المؤثر السوي.