A FIXED POINT THEOREM IN GENERALIZED D-SEMI METRIC SPACES

A.A.Sahib Mohamed Department of Mathematical and Computer Applications, College of Science, Al-Nahrain University, Baghdad-Iraq.

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to consider and study the concept of Δ -distance on a complete D-semi metric spaces and the fixed point theorem has been established on the D – semi metric spaces.

Keywords: Fixed point theorem, D-semi metric space, Δ -distance.

1. Introduction

Recently, Dhage [3] introduced the concept of D-metric. Afterwards, many authors Fred Galvin and S.D. Shore [4], N.S.Rao [5] and B.E.Rhoades [6] proved some fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces. Fred Galvin and S.D. Shore [4] introduced the completeness of semi metric space and Oleg Zubelevich [8] has been proved the fixed point theorem in semi metric space by using Schauder's fixed point theorem. In the present paper, we use an abstract notion of semi metric spaces which extends the notion of distance, and define a Δ -distance on a complete D-semi metric space, then by using the Δ -distance; we prove a fixed point theorem, which is the main result of this paper.

2. Preliminaries

We state the definitions of D-semi metric, Δ -distance which are necessary for the present work.

Definition 2.1 [1]

Let X is a non-empty set. A function $D: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0,\infty)$ is called a D-semi metric if the following conditions are satisfied: 1. $D(x, y, z) \ge 0$, $\forall x, y, z \in X$ and

- D(x, x, x) = 0.
- 2. $D(x, y, z) = D(p\{x, y, z\})$, where p is a permutation of x, y, z.
- 3. $D(x, y, z) \le D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z)$ $\forall x, y, z, a \in X$.

Definition 2.2 [2]

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is called a D-Cauchy sequence if for each $\epsilon > 0$ there

exists a positive integer \mathbf{n}_0 such that, for all $m > n, p \ge n_0$, $D(\mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.3 [2]

A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be D-convergent to a point $x \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a positive integer n_0 such that, for all $m, n \ge n_0$, $D(x_m, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.4 [7]

The function $f: M \subseteq X \to (-\infty, \infty]$ is called lower semi-continuous iff for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$ the set $\{x \in M: f(x) \le r\}$ is relatively closed in *M*.

Definition 2.5 [8]

Let X is a semi metric space with semi metric D .Then a function $\Delta: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a Δ -distance on X if the following conditions are satisfied: $1. \Delta(x, y, z) \leq \Delta(x, y, a) + \Delta(x, a, z) + \Delta(a, y, z)$, $\forall x, y, z, a \in X$.

- 2. For any $x, y \in X, \Delta(x, y, .): X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a lower semi-continuous.
- 3. for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $\Delta(a, x, y) \le \delta$, $\Delta(a, x, z) \le \delta$ and $\Delta(a, y, z) \le \delta$ imply $D(x, y, z) \le \varepsilon$.

Definition 2.6 [8]

Let X be a non-empty set, then X is said to be Δ -bounded if there is a constant M > 0 such that $\Delta(x, y, z) \le M, \forall x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 2.7 [5]

Let X be a semi-metric space with semimetric D, then a self maps T of X is said to have a fixed point y if Ty = y.

3. The main results

It should be notice that the fixed point theorem on a complete D- semi metric has been developed by using lemma 3.1 and theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.1

Let X is a semi metric space with the semi metric D and let Δ be a Δ -distance on X. Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ be sequences in X and let $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ be sequences in $[0, \infty)$ converging to zero and assume that x, y, z, a \in X. Then:

- 1. If $\Delta(x_n, a_n, y_n) \le \alpha_n$, $\Delta(x_n, a_n, z) \le \beta_n$ and $\Delta(x_n, y_n, z) \le \gamma_n$, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $D(a_n, y_n, z) \to 0$.
- 2. If $\Delta(x_n, x_m, x_p) \le \alpha_n$, for any p, m, $n \in N$ with m< n < p, then $\{x_n\}$ is a D-Cauchy sequence.

<u>Proof:</u>

(1) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. From the definition of Δ -distance, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\Delta(a, u, v) \leq \delta$, $\Delta(a, u, z) \leq \delta$ and $\Delta(a, v, z) \leq \delta$, $\operatorname{imply} D(u, v, z) \leq \varepsilon$. Choose n∈ N that such $\Delta(a, u, v) \leq \delta$, $\Delta(a,u,z) \leq \delta$, and $\Delta(a,v,z) \leq \delta$ imply $n \in N$ such that $D(u,v,z) \leq \varepsilon$. Choose $\alpha_n \leq \delta, \beta_n \leq \delta$ and $\gamma_n \leq \delta$ for every $n \ge n_0$. Then for any $n \ge n_0$. we have $\Delta(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{y}_n) \leq \alpha_n \leq \delta, \ \Delta(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{a}_n, \mathbf{z}) \leq \beta_n \leq \delta ,$ $\Delta(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{y}_n, \mathbf{z}) \leq \gamma_n \leq \delta$ and hence $D(a_n, y_n, z) \le \varepsilon$. If we replace $\{\alpha_n\}$ with $\{y_n\}$, then $\{y_n\}$ converges to z.

(2) Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. As in the poof of (1), choose $\delta > 0$ and then $n_0 \in N$. Then, for any p > n > m > n, $\Delta(x_{n_0}, x_n, x_m) \le \alpha_{n_0} \le \delta$, $\Delta(x_{n_0}, x_n, x_p) \le \beta_{n_0} \le \delta$,

 $\Delta(\mathbf{x}_{n_0}, \mathbf{x}_m, \mathbf{x}_p) \le \gamma_{n_0} \le \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \text{hence}$ $D(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_p) \le \varepsilon \quad \text{This} \quad \text{implies that} \{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ is a D – Cauchy sequence.

Theorem 3.2

Let X be a Δ -bounded complete semimetric space with semi-metric D, and Δ

is a Δ -distance on X. Then a self map T from X into itself has a unique fixed point if :

- (1) $\Delta (Tx, T^2 x, Tw) \leq r \Delta (x, Tx, w);$ for all $x, w \in X$ and $r \in (0,1)$.
- (2) Δ (x, y, z) > 0, for all x, y and z \in X.

<u>Proof:</u>

By using condition (2), we get: $\inf \{\Delta(x, Tx, y) + \Delta(x, Tx, T^2x)\}$

$$+\Delta(x, T^2x, y) ; x \in X \} > 0;$$

For all $y \in X$ with $Ty \neq y$. Let $u \in X$ and define a sequence $\{u_n\}$ in X, by $u_n = T^n u$; For all $n \in N$. Then for all t, $n \in N$ we have $\Delta(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+t}) \leq r \Delta(u_{n-1}, u_n, u_{n+t-1})$

 $\begin{aligned} &\leq ... \leq r^n \, \Delta(\, u \, , u_1 \, , u_t \,) \; . \; Thus, \qquad for \qquad any \\ &p > m > n \; for \; which \; m = n + k \; and \; p = m + t; \\ &t,k \in \; N \; .we \; have \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{split} &\Delta(u_{n}, u_{m}, u_{p}) = \Delta(u_{n}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}) \\ &\leq \Delta(u_{n}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+1}) + \Delta(u_{n}, u_{n+1}, u_{n+k+t}) \\ &+ \Delta(u_{n+1}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}) \leq \Delta(u_{n}, u_{n+1}, u_{n+k}) \\ &+ \Delta(u_{n}, u_{n+1}, u_{n+k+t}) + \Delta(u_{n+1}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}) \end{split}$$

 $\leq r^{n} + r^{n} + \Delta(u_{n+1}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t})$ By repeated application of tetrahedral

inequality we obtain

$$\Delta(u_n, u_m, u_p) \leq \Delta(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+k}) + \Delta(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+k+t}) + \Delta(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, u_{n+k}) + \Delta(u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}, u_{n+k+t}) + \dots$$

$$\leq 2(r^n + r^{n+1} + r^{n+2} + \dots)M$$

$$\leq 2M \frac{r^n}{1 - r} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By part (2) of lemma 3.1, $\{u_n\}$ is a D – Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, $\{u_n\}$ converges to a point $z \in X$. Let $n \in \aleph$ be fixed. Then by lower semi-continuity of Δ , we have

$$\Delta(u_n, u_m, z) \leq \liminf_{p \to \infty} \Delta(u_n, u_m, u_p)$$

 $\leq 2M \frac{r^{"}}{1-r}$.Assume that $z \neq Tz$.Then we have:

$$0 < \inf \left\{ \Delta(x, Tx, z) + \Delta(x, Tx, T^{2}x) + \Delta(x, T^{2}x, z) \right\}$$

$$\leq \inf \left\{ \Delta(u_{n}, u_{n+1}, z) + \Delta(u_{n}, u_{n+1}, u_{n+2}) + \Delta(u_{n}, u_{n+2}, z) : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

Journal of Al-Nahrain University

$$\leq \inf \left\{ 2M \frac{r^{n}}{1-r} + r^{n}M + 2M \frac{r^{n+1}}{1-r} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = 0$$

This is a contradiction. Therefore, we have z = Tz.

Now if x and y are two fixed points such that $x \neq y$, then

$$\begin{split} \Delta(x, y, y) &= \Delta(Tx, Ty, Ty) \leq r\Delta(x, y, y) \\ &\leq r \Delta(Tx, Ty, Ty) \\ &\leq r^2 \Delta(x, y, y) \leq \dots \leq r^n \Delta(x, y, y) \end{split}$$

This is not possible since r < 1.

References

- Y.J. CHO and R. Saadati, A fixed point theorem in generalized D- metric spaces, Bulletin of the Iranian Math. Soc.32 no.2 (2006), 13-19.
- [2] J.D.Depree, Introduction to real analysis, Wiley & Sons. New York. 1988.
- [3] B.C.Dhage, Generalized metric space and mapping with fixed Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. 84 (1994), 329 – 336.
- [4] F. Galvin and S. D.Shore, Completeness in semimetric space. Pacific J. Math. 113. No.1 (1984), 67 – 75.
- [5] N.S.Rao , A study of the topological properties of D- metric space and fixed point theorem in metric and D-metric , Ph.D.Thesis, Acharia Nagarjuna University, 2004 .
- [6] B.E.Rhoades, A fixed point theorem for generalized metric space, Int.J.Math.Sci.19 (1996), 457 – 460.
- [7] E.Zeidler, Nonlinear Functional Analysis and its applications .part I Springer – Verlag New York, 1986.
- [8] O.Zubelevich, A generalization of Schauder's theorem and its application to Cauchy-Kovalevskaya problem. Electronic J. of Differential equations, 2003 no. 55 (2003), 1–6.