# Weak Forms of L (0-Generalized Closed) - Spaces

Arkan J. Mohammed

Department of Mathematics, College of Science, Al-Mustansiriyah University, Baghdad-Iraq. E-mail :drarkanjasim@yahoo.com.

#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce some concepts namely  $\theta$ -generalized L<sub>i</sub>-spaces, where i=1,2,3,4, which are weaker forms of L( $\theta$ -generalized closed)-spaces, these are spaces whose Lindelof subsets are  $\theta$ -generalized closed and study some of their properties and investigate their relationships with L( $\theta$ -generalized closed)- spaces as well as among themselves.

Keywords: Lindelof space,  $\theta$ -closed sets, generalized-closed sets,  $\theta$ -generalized closed sets.

## Introduction

In 1968, Velick [2] introduced the concept of  $\theta$ -closed sets in topological space. Levine [3] introduced the concept of generalized closed sets as a generalization of closed sets in topological spaces. Recently Dontchev and Maki [4] have introduced the concept of a  $\theta$ - generalized closed set. This class of sets has been investigated also by Arockiarani [5].

Throughout this paper, a space X means topological space  $(X, \tau)$  on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless explicitly stated. If A is a subset of a space X, then the closure and the interior of A are denoted by cl(A) and Int(A) respectively.

## 1. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic definitions and example needed in this work.

## <u> Definition (1.1), [2]:</u>

The  $\theta$ -closure of A, denoted by  $cl_{\theta}(A)$ , is the set of all  $x \in X$  for which every closed neighborhood of x intersects A nontrivially. A set A is called  $\theta$ - closed if  $A = cl_{\theta}(A)$ .

## <u>Definition (1.2), [2]:</u>

The  $\theta$ -interior of A, denoted by  $\operatorname{int}_{\theta}(A)$ , is the set of all  $x \in X$  for which A contains a closed neighborhood of x. A set A is said to be  $\theta$ -open provided that  $A=\operatorname{int}_{\theta}(A)$ . Furthermore, the complement of a  $\theta$ -closed set is  $\theta$ -open and the complement of a  $\theta$ -open set is  $\theta$ -closed.

## Definition (1.3), [3]:

A set A is called a generalized closed (or briefly g-closed) if  $cl(A) \subseteq O$ , whenever  $A \subseteq O$ , and O is open in X. The complement of generalized closed set is called generalized open set (or briefly g-open).

# **Definition** (1.4), [4]:

A set A is said to be  $\theta$ -generalized closed (or briefly  $\theta$ -g closed) provided that

 $cl_{\theta}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and U is open in X. A set is called  $\theta$ -generalized open (or briefly  $\theta$ -g open) if its complement is  $\theta$ -generalize closed.

From [4] it is easy to check that, every  $\theta$ -closed set is  $\theta$ -generalized closed and every  $\theta$ -generalized closed set is g-closed. But the converse implication does not hold, see[4]. Also from[4] A countable union of  $\theta$ -g closed sets need not be a  $\theta$ -g closed set.

## Definition (1.5):

Let A be a subset of X, then  $\theta$  generalizeclosure of A (or briefly  $cl_{\theta g}(A)$ ) is the intersection of all  $\theta$ -g closed sets which contain A, that is:

 $\operatorname{cl}_{\theta g}(A) = \cap \{F \subseteq X : \operatorname{Fis} \theta - \operatorname{gclosed}, A \subseteq F\}.$ 

So if A is  $\theta$ -g closed, then A=cl<sub> $\theta g$ </sub> (A).

## **Definition** (1.6), [6]:

A set F in X is called  $F\sigma$ -closed if it is the union of at most countably many closed sets.

## **Definition** (1.7), [3]:

A space X is called  $T_{\frac{1}{2}}$ -space if every

g- closed set is closed.

## **Definition** (1.8), [7]:

A space X is called an Lc-space if every Lindelof subset of X is closed.

## **Definition** (1.9), [1]:

A space X is called L (generalized-closed) (or briefly L (g-closed)) -space if every Lindelof subset of X is g-closed.

## Definition (1.10), [1]:

A space is said to be L ( $\theta$ -closed) -space if every Lindelof set in X is  $\theta$ -closed.

# Definition (1.11), [1]:

A space X is said to be L ( $\theta$ -generalized closed) (or briefly L ( $\theta$ -g closed))-space if every Lindelof subset of X is  $\theta$ -g closed.

# 2. Generalization of L (θ-generalized closed) - spaces.

In this section, we give a generalization of  $L(\theta$ -generalized closed)-spaces namely  $\theta$ -generalized  $L_i$  (or briefly  $\theta$ -g $L_i$ ), where i=1,2,3,4 and investigate their relationships with  $L(\theta$ -g closed)- spaces as well as among themselves and study some of their properties.

## Definition (2.1):

A set A is said to be  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ -generalized closed (or briefly  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed) if it is a countable union of  $\theta$ -generalized closed sets. So every  $\theta$ - g closed set is  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ - g closed set, but the converse is not true in general as in the following example.

## *Example (2.2):*

Let X=R, be the real line with the usual topology. The sets  $G_n = [\frac{1}{n}, 1]$  n=2,3,4,... are  $\theta$ -closed sets which implies they are  $\theta$ -g closed. But  $G = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} G_n = \bigcup_{n=2}^{\infty} [\frac{1}{n}, 1] = (0,1]$ . Then G is F $\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed, but it is not closed, which implies it is not  $\theta$ -closed. To show that (0, 1] is not  $\theta$ -g closed. For all  $U \in \tau_U$  with  $0 \in U$ , such that  $U \cap (0,1] \neq \phi$  also  $cl(U) \cap (0,1] \neq \phi$ ,

that  $U \cap (0,1] \neq \phi$  also  $cl(U) \cap (0,1] \neq \phi$ , which implies  $cl_{\theta}(U) \cap (0,1] \neq \phi$ , since  $cl(U) \subset cl_{\theta}(U)$ .

Now we introduce the following definitions.

# Definitions (2.3):

A topological space X is called

- 1.  $\theta$ -generalized L<sub>1</sub> (or briefly  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub>) -space if every Lindelof F $\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed set is  $\theta$ -g closed.
- 2.  $\theta$ -generalized L<sub>2</sub> (or briefly  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub>) -space if L is Lindelof subset of X, then  $cl_{\theta g}(L)$  is Lindelof.
- 3.  $\theta$ -generalized L<sub>3</sub> (or briefly  $\theta$ -gL<sub>3</sub>) -space if every Lindelof subset of X is an F $\sigma$ - $\theta$  g closed.

4.  $\theta$ -generalized L<sub>4</sub> (or briefly  $\theta$ -gL<sub>4</sub>) -space if L is Lindelof subset of X, then there is a Lindelof F $\sigma$ -  $\theta$ -gclosed set F with L  $\subseteq$  F  $\subseteq$ cl<sub> $\theta\sigma$ </sub> (L).

# Proposition (2.4):

If X is an L ( $\theta$ -g closed) - space, then X is a  $\theta$ -g L<sub>i</sub>-space, where i=1,2,3,4.

## Proof:

For i=1, let  $K \subseteq X$  be a Lindelof  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed set. Since X is L( $\theta$ -g closed)- space, then K is  $\theta$ -g closed. Therefore X is  $\theta$ g-L<sub>1</sub>-space.

For i=2, let A be a Lindelof subset of X, then A is  $\theta$ -g closed, that is, A=  $cl_{\theta g}$  (A),  $socl_{\theta g}$ 

(A) is also Lindelof. Hence X is  $\theta g$ -L<sub>2</sub>-space.

For i=3, let B be a Lindelof subset of X, then B is  $\theta$ -g closed and so B is F $\sigma$ -  $\theta$ -g closed set. Hence X is  $\theta$ -g L<sub>3</sub>-space.

For i=4, let L be a Lindelof subset of X, then L is  $\theta$ -g closed, so it is F $\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed set. Therefore

 $L \subseteq L \subseteq cl_{\theta g}$  (L) and this implies that X is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>4</sub>-space.

# Proposition (2.5):

Every space which is  $\theta\mathchar`-gL_1$  and  $\theta\mathchar`-gL_4$  is  $\theta\mathchar`-gL_2\mathchar`-space.$ 

## Proof:

Let A be Lindelof subset of  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub> and  $\theta$ -gL<sub>4</sub> space X. Then there is a Lindelof F $\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed set F such that A  $\subseteq$  F  $\subseteq cl_{\theta g}$  (A). Since X is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub>-space, then F is  $\theta$ - g closed, that is, F= cl\_{\theta g} (F), but A  $\subseteq$  F, then cl\_{\theta g} (A)  $\subseteq cl_{\theta g}$  (F) =F, which implies that F = cl\_{\theta g} (A). But F is Lindelof so cl\_{\theta g} (A) is also Lindelof. Hence X is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub>- space.

## Proposition (2.6):

Every  $\theta$  -gL<sub>3</sub>-space is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>4</sub>-space.

## Proof:

Let A be Lindelof subset of X, then A is  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed set. Since  $A \subseteq A \subseteq cl_{\theta g}$  (A).

Put A=F then,  $A \subseteq F \subseteq cl_{\theta g}$  (A). Therefore X is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>4</sub>-space.

# Definition (2.7):

A subset A of a space X is called  $\theta$ -g dense if  $cl_{\theta\sigma}(A)=X$ .

## Proposition (2.8):

Every  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub>-space having a  $\theta$ -g dense Lindelof subset is Lindelof.

## **Proof:**

Let K be a Lindelof  $\theta$ -g dense subset of  $\theta$ -g L<sub>2</sub>-space X, so X=cl<sub> $\theta$ g</sub> (K) is also Lindelof.

## Definition (2.9):

Let A be a subset of X, a point  $x \in A$  is said to be  $\theta$ -generalized interior (or briefly  $\theta$ -g int) point of A, if there exists a  $\theta$ -g open set U such that  $x \in U \subseteq A$ . The set of all  $\theta$ -g interior points of A is denoted by  $int_{\theta g}$ (A). Also A is called  $\theta$ -g open if A=  $int_{\theta g}$  (A).

## Definition (2.10):

A space X is said to be  $\theta$ -gT<sub>1</sub> if for every distinct points x and y there are two  $\theta$ -g open sets U and V such that  $x \in U, y \notin U$  and  $x \notin V, y \in V$ .

## Theorem (2.11):

A space X is  $\theta$ -gT<sub>1</sub>, if and only if every singleton set is  $\theta$ -g closed.

## **Proof:**

Assume every singleton subset  $\{x\}$  of X be  $\theta$ -g closed. We have to show X is  $\theta$ -gT<sub>1</sub>.

Let x and y be two distinct points of X. But X- $\{x\}$  is a  $\theta$ -g open set which contains y but does not contain x, similarly X- $\{y\}$  is a  $\theta$ -g open set which contains x but not contain y. Hence X is  $\theta$ -g T<sub>1</sub>.

Conversely let X be  $\theta$ -gT<sub>1</sub> and let x be any point of X. To show that X-{x} is a  $\theta$ -g open, let  $y \in X$ -{x}, then  $y \neq x$ .Since X is  $\theta$ -gT<sub>1</sub>, so there exists a  $\theta$ -g open U<sub>y</sub> such that  $y \in U_y$ and  $x \notin U_y$ .It follows that  $y \in U_y \subseteq X - \{x\}$ , that is y is an  $\theta$ -g interior point of X-{x}. Hence X-{x} is  $\theta$ -g open set, therefore, {x} is  $\theta$ -g closed set.

## Proposition (2.12):

Every  $\theta$ -gL<sub>3</sub>-space is  $\theta$ -gT<sub>1</sub>-space.

## **Proof:**

Let X be  $\theta$ -gL<sub>3</sub>-space and  $x \in X$ , to prove X is  $\theta$ -gT<sub>1</sub>-space, it is sufficient to prove  $\{x\}$  is  $\theta$ -g closed set. Since  $\{x\}$  is countable, then it is Lindelof in X.

But X is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>3</sub> space, then {x} is F $\sigma$ - $\theta$ -gclosed set, that is, {x}= $\bigcup_{i\in I} U_i$ , where  $U_i$  is  $\theta$ -g closed for each  $i \in I$  and I is a countable set, this implies {x} is  $\theta$ -g closed. Therefore X is  $\theta$ -gT<sub>1</sub>-space.

## Definition (2.13):

A topological space X is said to be  $\theta$ -gp-space if every Fo- $\theta$ -g closed set is  $\theta$ -g closed.

## <u>Remark (2.14):</u>

Every  $\theta$ - gp-space is a  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub>-space.

## <u>Proposition(2.15):[4]</u>

Let  $A \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ .

- (i) if A is θ- g closed relative to Y, Y is θ- g closed and open subspace of X, then A is θ- g closed in X.
- (ii) if A is  $\theta$ -g closed in X, then A is  $\theta$ -g closed relative to Y.

#### **Theorem** (2.16):

The property of space being  $\theta$ -gL<sub>3</sub> is a hereditary property.

## **Proof:**

Let X be a  $\theta$ -g L<sub>3</sub> and Y is a subspace of X. To show Y is also  $\theta$ -gL<sub>3</sub>. Given L is a Lindelof subset of Y and so L is Lindelof subset of X, then L is F $\sigma$ -  $\theta$ -g closed in X, that is, there exists a family  $\{F_i\}_{i\in I}$  of  $\theta$ - g closed sets in X such that  $L = \bigcup_{i\in I} F_i$ , where I is a countable set.

By setting  $F_i^* = Y \cap F_i$ , one can get  $F_i^*$  is  $\theta$ -g closed in Y for each i.

$$L \subseteq L \bigcap Y = (\bigcup_{i \in I} F_i) \bigcap Y = \bigcup_{i \in I} (F_i \bigcap Y) = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i^*.$$

So L is Fo-  $\theta\text{-g}$  closed in Y. Hence Y is  $\theta\text{-g}$  L\_3 -space.

## **Theorem** (2.17):

The property of space being  $\theta$ -g L<sub>1</sub> is a hereditary on an open and  $\theta$ -gclosed set. *Proof:* 

Let Y be a  $\theta$ -closed subspace of  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub> space X. To show that Y is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub>.

Suppose that L is a Lindelof  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed subset of Y, that is, there exists a family  $\{F_i\}_{i\in I}$  of  $\theta$ -g closed sets in Y, such that  $L=\bigcup_{i\in I}F_i$ . So  $F_i$  is  $\theta$ -g closed set in X for each i (by proposition)

(2.15(i)). Hence  $L = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i$  is Lindelof  $F\sigma - \theta - g$ 

closed in X, Since X is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub>, then L is  $\theta$ -g closed in X. But  $L \subseteq Y \subseteq X$ , then L is  $\theta$ -g closed in Y by proposition (2.15(ii)). Hence Y is  $\theta$ -g L<sub>1</sub>.

#### Definition (2.18):

Let A be a subset of space X. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be  $\theta$ -g adherent point of A if  $cl_{\theta}(U) \bigcap A \neq \phi$ , where U is open set containing x. The set of all  $\theta$ -g adherent points of A is  $\theta$ -generalize- closure of A.

#### Proposition (2.19)

Let Y be open subset of X and  $K\subseteq Y$ , then  $cl_{_{\theta g}}(K)_{_{inY}}=cl_{_{\theta g}}(K)_{_{inX}}\bigcap Y$ .

## Proof:

Clearly:

 $cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inY} \subseteq cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inX} \bigcap Y$  .....(1) Now, to show that:

 $cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inX} \bigcap Y \subseteq cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inY}.If$ 

$$\begin{split} &x\in cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inX}\bigcap Y\,, \ \ then \ \ x\in cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inX} \ \ and \\ &x\in Y. \ If \ x\in cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inX}, \ then \ \ cl_{\theta}(U)_{inX}\bigcap K\neq \phi \\ & \text{where } U \ \ is \ open \ subset \ of \ X \ and \ \ x\in U, \ but \\ & K=Y\cap K \,. \end{split}$$

So  $cl_{\theta}(U)_{inX} \bigcap Y \bigcap K \neq \phi$ , but

 $cl_{\theta}(U)_{inX} \bigcap Y = cl_{\theta}(U)_{inY}$  (since if Y be open subset of X and  $K \subseteq Y$ , then

 $cl_{\theta}(K)_{inY} = cl_{\theta}(K)_{inX} \bigcap Y [4])$ . Hence

 $cl_{\theta}(U)_{inY} \bigcap K \neq \phi$ , which implies

$$x \in cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inY} \ cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inX} \bigcap Y \subseteq cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inY}$$
.....(2)

From (1) and (2) we get:  $cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inY} = cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inX} \bigcap Y$ .

## <u>Lemma (2.20), [4]:</u>

A space X is  $T_{1/2}$  if and only if every  $\theta$ -g closed set is closed.

## **Theorem** (2.21):

Let X be a Lindelof,  $T_{1/2}$  and  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub>- space. Then any closed and open subspace of X is also  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub>.

#### Proof:

Suppose Y is a closed, and open subspace of X. If K is Lindelof set in Y, so it is Lindelof in X, which is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub>-space, then  $cl_{\theta g}$  (K) in X is Lindelof in X. Also  $cl_{\theta g}$  (K) is closed in X, since X is  $T_{1/2}$ , so  $cl_{\theta g}(K)_{in X} \cap Y$  is closed in Y. Since:

 $cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inY} = cl_{\theta g}(K)_{inX} \bigcap Y$ 

by proposition(2.19), then  $cl_{\theta g}(K)_{in Y}$  is closed in Y, But Y is Lindelof, hence  $cl_{\theta g}(K)_{in Y}$ Lindelof in Y. Therefore Y is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub> space.

#### **Theorem** (2.22):

The property  $\theta$ -gL<sub>4</sub> is hereditary on  $\theta$ -closed property.

#### **Proof:**

Let Y be a  $\theta$ -closed subspace of  $\theta$  -gL<sub>4</sub>space X, so Y be a closed subspace of X, since every  $\theta$ -closed set is closed. And L be a Lindelof in Y, then L is Lindelof in X, which is  $\theta$ -g L<sub>4</sub>-space, then there exists Lindelof F $\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed set F in X such that  $F = \bigcup_{j \in I} T_j$ ,

where  $T_j$  is  $\theta\text{-g}$  closed in X with  $L \subseteq F \subseteq cl_{\theta g}$   $(L)_{in \, X}$  .

Set  $K = Y \bigcap F$ , Y is closed in X, then K is closed in F, but F is Lindelof in X, so K is Lindelof in F and so it is Lindelof in Y. To show that K is  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed in Y. Since  $K = \bigcup_{j \in I} (T_j \bigcap Y)$ , and  $T_j \bigcap Y$  is  $\theta$ -g closed in X,

since the intersection of a  $\theta$ -g closed set and a  $\theta$ -closed set is always  $\theta$ -g closed [4]. Hence  $T_j \bigcap Y$  is  $\theta$ -g closed in Y by proposition (2.15(ii)). Therefore K is  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed in Y, but  $L=L \bigcap Y \subset K \subset cl_{\theta g}(L)_{in X} \cap Y = cl_{\theta g}(L)_{in Y}$ .

Therefore Y is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>4</sub>.

#### **Theorem** (2.23):

Let X be a  $T_2$  space, then X is  $L(\theta-g closed)$  –space if and only if it is  $\theta$ -g $L_1$  -space and  $\theta$ -g $L_2$  -space.

## Proof:

Assume if X is an L ( $\theta$ -g closed), then it is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub> and  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub> by definition.

Conversely, suppose L be a Lindelof set in X and  $x \notin L$ . But X is  $T_2$ , so for each  $y \in L$ ,

الخلاصة

there exists an open set  $V_y$  containing y with  $x \notin cl(V_y)$ . Therefore  $L \subseteq \bigcup \{V_y : y \in L\}$ , but L is Lindelof, then there exists a countable set  $C \subseteq L$ , such that:  $L \subseteq \bigcup \{V_y : y \in C\} \subseteq \bigcup \{cl(V_y) : y \in C\}.$ 

For each  $y \in C$ ,  $cl(V_y)$  is closed so  $L \cap cl(V_y)$  is closed in L, but L is Lindelof in X, then  $L \cap cl(V_y)$  is Lindelof in L, which implies it is Lindelof in X. But X is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>2</sub>, then  $cl_{\theta\sigma}(L \cap cl(V_y))$  is Lindelof.

Now if  $W = \bigcup \{cl_{\theta_g}(L \cap cl(V_y)) : y \in C\}$ . Then W is a countable union of Lindelof sets which implies it is also Lindelof, also W is a countable union of  $\theta g$ -closed sets which implies it is  $F\sigma$ - $\theta$ -g closed. But X is  $\theta$ -gL<sub>1</sub>- space, then W is  $\theta$ -g closed. But  $x \notin L \cap cl(V_y), x \notin \{cl_{\theta_g}(L \cap cl(V_y)) : y \in C\},$ that is,  $x \notin W$ .

$$\begin{split} & \text{Since } L \subseteq \bigcup \{ cl(V_y) : y \in C \} \,, \\ & \text{so } L \subseteq \bigcup \{ L \bigcap cl(V_y) : y \in C \} \,, \\ & cl_{\theta g}(L) \subseteq \bigcup \{ cl_{\theta g}(L \bigcap cl(V_y) : y \in C \} = W \,. \end{split}$$

Therefore  $x \notin cl_{\theta g}(L)$ , that is x is not  $\theta$ -g adherent point of L. Hence L is  $\theta$ -gclosed.

## References

- [1] Ali, H.G.; On L( $\theta$ g- Closed) Spaces; to appear.
- [2] Velicko, N.V.;"H-Closed Topological Spaces"; Amer Math, Soc.Transl, 78, 103-118, (1968).
- [3] Levien, N.; "Generalized Closed Set in topology"; Rend.Circ. Math. Palermo, (2)19, 89-96, (1970).
- [4] Dontchev, J.; Maki, H.; "On θ-Generalized Closed Sets"; Int.J. Math.Math. Sci. 22, no.2, 239-249, (1999).
- [5] Arockiarani, I.; Balachandran, K.; Ganster, M; "Regular Generalized Locally Closed Sets and RGL-Continuous Functions"; Indian J.Pure Appl.Math.28, no.5, 661-669, (1997).
- [6] Willard. S.;" General Topology"; Addison-Wesely, London, (1970).
- [7] Mukherjy, T.K.; Sarka, M.; "On a Class of Almost Discrete Spaces" Math, Vesink (16) (13), 459-474, (1979).

في هذا البحث قدمنا بعض المفاهيم والتي تسمى الفضاءات θ-gL<sub>i</sub> حيث ان 1,2,3,4 و الذي هي فضاءات أضعف من الفضاءات (L(θ-g closed) هذه الفضاءات التي فيها المجاميع الجزئيه اللندلوفيه تكون المجاميع θ-g closed.

ثم درسنا بعض من خصائص وصفات الفضاءات θ-gL<sub>i</sub> و العلاقات فيما بينها وبين الفضاءات L(θ-g closed).